EXHIBIT 6

DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

```
Page 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
 6
                Plaintiff,
 7
                                   )Case No.:
          vs.
                                    )3:10-cv-03561-WHA
 8
     GOOGLE, INC.,
 9
                Defendant.
10
11
12
13
                        ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ADAM B. JAFFE, Ph.D.
                     San Francisco, California
15
                      Thursday, March 10, 2016
16
17
                              Volume 1
18
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported by:
     RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948
24
     Job No. 2265294
25
     PAGES 1 - 189
```

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 71
1	structure, sequence, and organization of the 37 Java API
2	packages as reflected in the actual code that Google
3	acknowledged it had reproduced.
4	So that's what I'm basing my understanding on.
5	Q All right. Does "Android" include anything else
6	beyond the 37 Java API packages?
7	A Yes.
8	Q That are identified in paragraph 170?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What else is part of "Android"?
11	A Well, I have only a general understanding. I
12	believe there are other APIs other than those 37.
13	There's Linux code, and then there's other code that
14	does all the things you need to do to make a phone work.
15	Q Did you think it was important, for purposes of
16	preparing your opinion, to understand the full scope of
17	what is part of the Android operating system?
18	A In a general sense, yes. In a detailed way, no.
19	Q Have you testified to the full extent of your
20	general understanding of "Android"?
21	A Well, full extent of Android is a pretty broad
22	question.
23	You mean in terms of the structure of the the
24	code itself?
25	Q Well, just to be clear

	Page 125
1	Q What is your testimony?
2	A I don't know how
3	Q I'm trying to okay.
4	A Okay.
5	Q So let me rephrase. I don't want to confuse you,
6	but but you are saying a number of things here that
7	aren't exactly lining up with what you said in your
8	report, and I want to make sure I understand your
9	opinion.
10	A Okay.
11	Q And that's not a question. That's just
12	commentary. You don't need to answer it.
13	But my question to you is this: Do you believe
14	that you are rendering opinions in this case as to
15	whether or not the copying of Java API packages and that
16	use in Android was a major contributor to Android's
17	success?
18	A I am offering the opinion that it was.
19	Q Okay. Thank you.
20	Now, to conclude that that was a major
21	contributor to success, did you do anything to assess
22	whether anything else was a major contributor to
23	Android's success?
24	A So if there's something which appears to have
25	been essential to success, you don't actually in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

Page 126

order to conclude that, you don't actually need to know whether there were other things that were also essential or helpful.

Nonetheless, it is true that, in my report, because I analyzed the market evolution of Android, there is quite a bit of discussion of how other aspects of Android factored into its success. I didn't do that because it's necessary in order to conclude that the Java infringement was very important, I did it as part of my understanding of the overall context, much of it relates to commercial use, and so it was part of my analysis. I didn't do it because I need that in order to conclude that the infringement was a major contributor.

Q But I just want to make sure that I understand the scope of your opinion.

Is it fair to say that you have not attempted to assess the relative importance of other factors to Android's success as compared to the factor of the use of the Java APIs?

A Well, I think I've answered this.

I have not attempted to assess the relative importance of other factors; because if something is essential, it is meaningless to talk about relative importance, even if the other things were also

	Page 189
1	
2	
3	
4	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
7	me at the time and place herein set forth; that any
8	witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
9	testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim
LO	record of the proceedings was made by me using machine
L1	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
L2	direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate
L3	transcription thereof.
L 4	I further certify that I am neither financially
L5	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
L6	any attorney or any of the parties.
L7	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed
L8	my name.
L9	
20	Dated: March 11, 2016
21	
22	B
	Concert
23	
24	RACHEL FERRIER
25	CSR No. 6948